

Global Engagement Council (GEC) Meeting Minutes for October 5, 2022

CGE Suite Conference Room - Green Hall 107

In attendance: Christa Olsen, Dina Boero, Cecilia Colbeth, Terry Warren, Tae-Nyun Kimm Zaara Sarwar.

Not in attendance: Solange Lopes-Murphy, Ting Sun, Praneal Mandavia, Christell Noell.

1. Review and Approve [Minutes of Sept 21, 2022 Meeting](#)
 - a. Minutes approved.
2. Snapshot for Winter, Spring Break, & Summer 2023 Faculty Led Programs.
 - a. Jessica Madrigal, Faculty Led Program Coordinator, shared a brief overview of the programs being offered and their status.
 - b. 3 winter programs, 1 spring break course, and 11 Summer Programs.
 - c. Jessica gave a snapshot of the Programs and application info for all semesters
 - d. Paris is the only program looking bleak.
 - e. Jessica feels confident that things are moving along nicely.
3. [Summary](#) of Center for Global Engagement - Faculty led portfolio (Page 14 & 16)
 - a. Christa went over the CGE pages 14 and 16 – CGE Capacity with current staffing.
 - b. Christa provided definitions to new committee members on what established and new programs are and the CGE's process of vetting program providers.
 - c. Ghana wants a new program provider and CGE must investigate and look at what is being offered by the new providers they reached out to.
 - d. Question about logistics being in place before registering students (Cost, Liability Insurance, Provider, etc.).
 - e. Christa mentioned that with the current staffing constraints, assisting faculty with recruiting is difficult. Thus, enrollments might be low, and programs might not run.
 - f. Setting capacity is the charge of the Council. GEC must be transparent on why there is a cap on # of programs to run. We need to let those proposing programs that the programs might not run.
4. Subgroup Report on Faculty Led Program
 - a. [Balancing the Portfolio - RFP Language](#)
 - b. Sub-committee suggested capping programs running so students can feel comfortable that if they sign up for a program it will run and not be canceled.
 - c. How does the GEC decide what proposals are accepted and which ones are not.
 - d. If GEC gets more proposals than CGE can handle, there needs to be a rubric.
 - e. RFP must include criteria of how proposals are reviewed.
 - f. Terry asks about the numbers of outbound and if they include students who use 3rd party providers to study abroad. Christa recommended looking at the end of the year report.

- g. Cecilia inquired about how the 10 programs that will run are divided amongst semesters.
- h. Dina and Christa explained that how many programs are proposed will determine this.
- i. Dates for approving these courses will vary.
- j. Dina discussed the need to give faculty who want to run Faculty Led Programs an opportunity to do so.
- k. One thing that came up in the discussion is that we may want to emphasize interdisciplinary programs that will draw a lot of students. Dina is hesitant to create new incentives on how we promote programs. In the future, we may want to look at new interdisciplinary programs. GEC will not take that up now, but in the future. It is a big change.
- l. Terry suggested we could add it to language now, as it might plant the seed for those considering future programs.
- m. Christa states that making that policy might be too prescriptive.
- n. Dina is cautious because once a program is set up, we usually don't place conditions on previously run programs.
- o. We are aware that this new cap requirement might make faculty who have run past successful programs a bit put off.
- p. Let's table, discuss more, and then put in for next year.
- q. Ghana as an example was used to support Dina's and Christa's stance.
- r. Christa wants a more in-depth program. Should FLP be stand-alone programs or part of a major. How these programs serve broader curricular needs is a more in-depth discussion.
- s. Jessica can have these side discussions with those who want to offer FLP, but it won't be a requirement.
- t. Faculty might question "where do I fit?" if the "Interdisciplinary Program" is required.

5. Review revised "[Request for Proposals](#)" for new Faculty Led Programs 2024

- a. Dates have been updated for the next cycle.
- b. Proposed inclusion:
 - i. Language about Balancing the Portfolio (Page 2-3)
 - ii. Put new Language at the top of the "Program Consideration" section of the RFP for New Programs. Include info on how many new programs will be accepted. Christa and Dina will work on editing the RFP.
 - iii. Direct reference to distribution of GEMS.
 - iv. Requirement to make own arrangements for family travel.
 - v. Addition of rank of professors. Cecilia will show Jessica where to find this in PAWS.

6. Review revised "[Request to Schedule](#)" for Faculty Led Programs 2024

- a. Proposed inclusion of language about Balancing the Portfolio. Put cap language up front.

- b. Proposed inclusion of indicating which program faculty members would prefer to lead if they are applying to lead more than one program per academic year. Addition of this language. Wordsmithing needs to be done before the 19th GEC meeting.
7. Status Update on [IDEAS Capacity Building US / India Healthcare](#).
- a. Discuss liaison with the Asia advisory board
 - b. October 12th: [Inaugural Advisory Board for South Asia Breakfast Meeting](#).
 - c. 20 people expressed interest.
 - d. Liaison needed for this. Dina will do it (as chair) but offers to give it to anyone else. Cecilia will sit on Risk Management Committee and Dina will sit on Asia Advisory Board.
8. Next Meeting: October 19th
- a. Finish up Call for Proposals / Request to Schedule Faculty Led
 - b. Updates: Institutional Partnerships; J-1 Exchange Program.
 - c. Status Update on Faculty and Staff Global Engagement Survey.